

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/634,431	Applicant(s) GUBA ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Samuel H. Megerditchian (Reg. No. 45,678).
Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2007
Time: 9:15am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) add an "or" before the last substituent in claim 1, under the definition of R(5); (2) delete the proviso at the end of claim 1; and (3) delete "prophylaxis" in claim 14
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)